# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE

NASHVILLE PHARMACY SERVICES, LLC, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	Case No.
Plaintiff,	
<b>v.</b>	CLASS ACTION
KY MEDS INC. and JOHN DOES 1-10,	
Defendants.	

## **COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff, Nashville Pharmacy Services, LLC, individually and on behalf of the class defined below, alleges the following against Defendants, KY Meds Inc. ("KY Meds") and John Does 1-10:

#### **INTRODUCTION**

- 1. This lawsuit challenges KY Meds's practice of sending unsolicited facsimiles.
- 2. The Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991, as amended by the Junk Fax Prevention Act of 2005, codified at 47 U.S.C. § 227 (the "Act"), and the regulations promulgated thereunder, prohibit a person or entity from faxing advertisements, or having an agent fax advertisements, without the recipient's prior express invitation or permission. The Act provides a private right of action and provides for injunctive relief and statutory damages of \$500 per violation.
- 3. On information and belief, KY Meds has sent facsimile transmissions of unsolicited advertisements to Plaintiff and the class in violation of the Act, including but not limited to the unsolicited fax advertisement sent to Plaintiff on or about March 1, 2018, a true and correct copy

of which is attached hereto as <u>Exhibit A</u>. The fax in <u>Exhibit A</u> describes the commercial availability or quality of KY Meds's products, goods, and services. On information and belief, KY Meds has sent, and continues to send, unsolicited advertisements via facsimile transmission in violation of the Act, including but not limited to the fax advertisement sent to Plaintiff.

- 4. Unsolicited faxes damage the recipients. A junk fax recipient loses the use of its fax machine, paper, and ink or toner. An unsolicited fax wastes the recipient's valuable time that would have been spent on something else. A junk fax interrupts the recipient's privacy. Unsolicited faxes prevent fax machines from receiving authorized faxes, prevent their use for authorized outgoing faxes, cause undue wear and tear on the recipients' fax machines, and require additional labor to attempt to discern the source and purpose of the unsolicited message.
- 5. On behalf of itself and all others similarly situated, Plaintiff brings this case as a class action asserting claims against KY Meds under the Act. Plaintiff seeks to certify a class of recipients of the fax sent to Plaintiff and recipients of other fax advertisements sent without prior express invitation or permission, whether sent to Plaintiff or not.
- 6. This action seeks relief expressly authorized by the Act: (i) injunctive relief enjoining KY Meds, its employees, agents, representatives, contractors, affiliates, and all persons and entities acting in concert with them, from sending unsolicited advertisements in violation of the Act; and (ii) an award of statutory damages in the minimum amount of \$500 for each violation of the Act, and to have such damages trebled, as provided by 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3).

#### **PARTIES**

7. Plaintiff, Nashville Pharmacy Services, LLC, is a Tennessee limited liability company. Plaintiff owns and operates pharmacies in Tennessee, where it maintains telephone facsimile equipment.

- 8. Defendant KY Meds Inc. is a Kentucky corporation with its principal place of business at 11381 Decimal Dr, Louisville, Kentucky 40299. KY Meds may be served at the same address.
- 9. John Does 1-10 are persons or entities who were involved in sending faxes to Plaintiff and the class members. John Does 1-10 will be identified through discovery but are not presently known to Plaintiff.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 10. This Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- 11. This Court has personal jurisdiction over KY Meds because KY Meds transacts business in this judicial district, has solicited business in this judicial district, has made contacts within this judicial district, and/or has committed tortious acts within this judicial district.
  - 12. Venue in this judicial district is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2).

### **FACTS**

- 13. KY Meds transmitted by telephone facsimile machine an unsolicited facsimile to Plaintiff on March 1, 2018. A copy of the facsimile is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- 14. Plaintiff's telephone facsimile equipment printed the fax when it was received, causing Plaintiff to lose paper and ink or toner.
- 15. On information and belief, KY Meds receives some or all of the revenues from the sale of the products, goods, and services advertised on the facsimile, and KY Meds profits and benefits from the sale of the products, goods, and services.
- 16. Plaintiff did not give prior express invitation or permission to KY Meds to send the facsimile.

- 17. The facsimile does not display a proper opt-out notice as required by 47 U.S.C. § 227 and 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200.
- 18. On information and belief, KY Meds faxed the same and other unsolicited facsimiles without a proper opt-out notice to Plaintiff and at least 40 other recipients or sent the same and other advertisements by fax with the required opt-out notice but without first receiving the recipients' express invitation or permission or without having an established business relationship as defined by the Act and its regulations.
- 19. There is no reasonable means for Plaintiff (or any other class member) to avoid receiving unauthorized faxes. Fax machines are left on and ready to receive the urgent communications their owners desire to receive.

#### **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

20. In accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(1), (b)(2), and (b)(3), Plaintiff brings this class action pursuant to the Act, on behalf of the following class of persons:

All persons who (1) on or after four years prior to the filing of this action, (2) were sent telephone facsimile messages of material advertising the commercial availability or quality of any property, goods, or services by or on behalf of KY Meds, and (3) from whom KY Meds did not obtain "prior express invitation or permission" to send fax advertisements, or (4) with whom KY Meds did not have an established business relationship, or (5) where the fax advertisements did not include an opt-out notice compliant with 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200(a)(4)(iii).

Excluded from the class are KY Meds, its employees, agents, and members of the Judiciary. Plaintiff seeks to certify a class that includes but is not limited to the fax advertisement sent to Plaintiff. Plaintiff reserves the right to amend the class definition upon completion of class certification discovery.

- 21. Numerosity Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(1). On information and belief, the number of persons and entities of the class is numerous and joinder of all members is impracticable. On information and belief, the number of class members is at least 40.
- 22. Commonality Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(2). Common questions of law and fact apply to the claims of all class members. Common material questions of fact and law include, but are not limited to, the following:
  - (a) Whether KY Meds sent unsolicited fax advertisements;
  - (b) Whether KY Meds's faxes sent to other persons, not the Plaintiff, constitute advertisements;
  - (c) Whether KY Meds's faxes advertised the commercial availability or quality of property, goods, or services;
  - (d) The manner and method KY Meds used to compile or obtain the list of fax numbers to which KY Meds sent the fax in Exhibit A, other unsolicited faxed advertisements, or other advertisements without the required opt-out language;
  - (e) Whether KY Meds faxed advertisements without first obtaining the recipient's prior invitation or permission;
    - (f) Whether KY Meds sent faxed advertisements knowingly;
  - (g) Whether KY Meds violated the provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 227 and the regulations promulgated thereunder;
  - (h) Whether the faxes contain an opt-out notice that complies with the requirements of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(C)(iii), and the regulations promulgated thereunder, and the effect of the failure to comply with such requirements;

- (i) Whether KY Meds should be enjoined from faxing advertisements in the future:
- (j) Whether Plaintiff and the other members of the class are entitled to statutory damages; and
  - (k) Whether the Court should award treble damages.
- 23. Typicality Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(3). Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of all class members. Plaintiff received the same or similar fax as the faxes sent by or on behalf of KY Meds advertising products, goods, and services of KY Meds during the class period. Plaintiff is making the same claims and seeking the same relief for itself and all class members based upon the same federal statute. KY Meds has acted in the same or in a similar manner with respect to the Plaintiff and all the class members by sending Plaintiff and each member of the class the same or similar faxes or faxes which did not contain a proper opt-out notice or were sent without prior express invitation or permission.
- 24. Adequate Representation Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(4). Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the class members. It is interested in this matter, has no conflicts, and has retained experienced class counsel to represent the class.
- 25. Need for Consistent Standards and Practical Effect of Adjudication Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(1). Class certification is appropriate because the prosecution of individual actions by class members would: (a) create the risk of inconsistent adjudications that could establish incompatible standards of conduct for KY Meds, and/or (b) as a practical matter, adjudication of the Plaintiff's claims will be dispositive of the interests of class members who are not parties.
- 26. Common Conduct Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(2). Class certification is also appropriate because KY Meds has acted in the same or similar manner with respect to all class members

thereby making injunctive and declaratory relief appropriate. Plaintiff demands such relief as authorized by 47 U.S.C. § 227.

- 27. Predominance and Superiority Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 (b)(3). Common questions of law and fact predominate over any questions affecting only individual members, and a class action is superior to other methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy because:
  - (a) Proof of the claims of Plaintiff will also prove the claims of the class without the need for separate or individualized proceedings;
  - (b) Evidence regarding defenses or any exceptions to liability that KY Meds may assert and attempt to prove will come from KY Meds's records and will not require individualized or separate inquiries or proceedings;
  - (c) KY Meds has acted and is continuing to act pursuant to common policies or practices in the same or similar manner with respect to all class members;
  - (d) The amount likely to be recovered by individual class members does not support individual litigation. A class action will permit a large number of relatively small claims involving virtually identical facts and legal issues to be resolved efficiently in one proceeding based upon common proofs; and
    - (e) This case is inherently manageable as a class action in that:
    - (i) KY Meds identified persons to receive the fax transmissions and it is believed that KY Meds's and/or KY Meds's agents' computers and business records will enable the Plaintiff to readily identify class members and establish liability and damages;
    - (ii) Liability and damages can be established for Plaintiff and the class with the same common proof;

- (iii) Statutory damages are provided for in the statute and are the same for all class members and can be calculated in the same or a similar manner;
- (iv) A class action will result in an orderly and expeditious administration of claims and will foster economics of time, effort, and expense;
- (v) A class action will contribute to uniformity of decisions concerningKY Meds's practices; and
- (vi) As a practical matter, the claims of the class are likely to go unaddressed absent class certification.

# CLAIM FOR RELIEF VIOLATION OF THE JUNK FAX PREVENTION ACT 47 U.S.C. § 227, et seq.

- 28. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 29. The Act makes it unlawful for any person to "use any telephone facsimile machine, computer or other device to send, to a telephone facsimile machine, an unsolicited advertisement . . . ." 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(C).
- 30. The Act defines "unsolicited advertisement" as "any material advertising the commercial availability or quality of any property, goods, or services which is transmitted to any person without that person's prior express invitation or permission, in writing or otherwise." 47 U.S.C. § 227(a)(5).
- 31. The Act requires that the senders of fax advertisements place an opt-out notice on the facsimile transmissions. The opt-out notice, among other things, must be clear and conspicuous on the first page of the transmission, must state that the recipient is entitled to opt out of receiving future fax advertisements, and must state that the sender must honor a recipient's opt-out notice

request within 30 days and that the sender's failure to do so is unlawful.

- 32. KY Meds sent a fax advertisement to Plaintiff on or about March 1, 2018, via a facsimile transmission from a telephone facsimile machine, computer, or other device to the telephone line and facsimile machine of Plaintiff. The fax sent to Plaintiff constituted an advertisement under the Act. KY Meds failed to comply with the Act's opt-out requirements in connection with the fax. The fax sent to Plaintiff was transmitted without Plaintiff's prior express invitation or permission. Alternatively, KY Meds is precluded from asserting any prior express invitation or permission and is precluded from asserting that it had an established business relationship with Plaintiff because of its failure to comply with the Act's opt-out requirements. KY Meds thus violated the Act and its regulations by sending the fax advertisement via facsimile transmission to Plaintiff. Plaintiff seeks to certify a class that includes the recipients of the fax and all other faxes sent during the four years prior to the filing of this case through the present.
- 33. On information and belief, during the period preceding four years of the filing of this Complaint and repeatedly thereafter, KY Meds has sent, via facsimile transmissions from telephone facsimile machines, computers, or other devices to the telephone facsimile machines of the class members, other faxes that constitute advertisements under the Act that were transmitted without the class members' prior express invitation or permission. Alternatively, KY Meds is precluded from asserting any prior express invitation or permission and is precluded from asserting that it had an established business relationship with the class members because of its failure to comply with the Act's opt-out requirements in connection with such transmissions. KY Meds thus violated the Act and the regulations promulgated thereunder. On information and belief, KY Meds may be continuing to send unsolicited advertisements via facsimile transmissions in violation of the Act and the regulations promulgated thereunder, and, absent intervention by this Court, KY

Meds will continue to do so in the future.

- 34. The Act provides a private right of action to bring this action on behalf of Plaintiff and the class to redress KY Meds's violations of the Act, and provides for statutory damages. 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3). The Act also provides that injunctive relief is appropriate. *Id*.
- 35. The Act is a strict liability statute, so KY Meds is liable to Plaintiff and the other class members even if their actions were only negligent.
- 36. KY Meds knew or should have known that (a) Plaintiff and the other class members had not given prior express invitation or permission for KY Meds or any other person to fax advertisements about KY Meds's products, goods, or services; (b) Plaintiff and the other class members did not have an established business relationship with KY Meds; (c) KY Meds transmitted fax advertisements; (d) the fax advertisements did not contain a proper opt-out notice; and (e) KY Meds's transmission of fax advertisements that did not contain a proper opt-out notice or that were sent without prior express invitation or permission was unlawful.
- 37. KY Meds's actions caused damages to the Plaintiff and the other class members. Receiving KY Meds's junk faxes caused Plaintiff and the other recipients to lose paper and toner and/or ink consumed in the printing of KY Meds's faxes. Moreover, KY Meds's faxes used the Plaintiff's and the other class members' telephone lines and fax machines. KY Meds's faxes cost Plaintiff and the other class members time, as the Plaintiff and the other class members and their employees wasted their time receiving, reviewing, and routing KY Meds's unauthorized faxes. That time otherwise would have been spent on the Plaintiff's and the other class members' business activities. KY Meds's faxes unlawfully interrupted the Plaintiff's and other class members' privacy interests in being left alone.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff and the class members pray:

A. That the Court determine that this action may be maintained as a class action under

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, certify the class defined herein, appoint Plaintiff as the

representative of the class, and appoint Plaintiff's counsel as counsel for the class;

B. That the Court enter judgment in favor of Plaintiff and the class members and

against Defendants, jointly and severally, for actual monetary losses sustained or the sum of \$500

for each violation of the Act, whichever is greater, and that the Court award treble damages of

\$1,500 if the violations are found to be "willful and knowing";

C. That the Court grant Plaintiff and the class members equitable relief enjoining KY

Meds and its agents from additional violations of the Act; and

D. That the Court award Plaintiff and the class members pre-judgment interest, costs

of this suit, and such further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: July 18, 2018.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Charles Barrett

Charles Barrett (#20627)

Benjamin C. Aaron (#34118)

Neal & Harwell, PLC

1201 Demonbreun Street, Suite 1000

Nashville, TN 37203

Phone: (615) 244-1713

Fax: (615) 726-0573

cbarrett@nealharwell.com

baaron@nealharwell.com

11